

Index

- aeromagnetic survey 9, 13, 14, 22–3
 - flying height 11, 22–3, 30
 - gradiometry survey 10
 - improved constraint of magnetisation direction
 - from UAV surveys 200–14
 - Jindabyne anomalies, NSW 205–7
 - line spacing 9, 23, 30, 36–8, 46–9, 67–9, 71–3, 151–3, 201–5, 207–9
 - network adjustment of magnetic survey data 30
 - survey listing in ARAD 276
 - survey planning 30
- airborne gravity gradiometer (AGG) 13–15, 41
- anisotropic gridding 46–9, 50–2
- anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) 5
- anomalies, ARAD 276–7
- anomaly separation 10, 17, 32–7
 - from a steep regional gradient 35–6
- Antarctic-Australia Ferrar flood-basalt volcanism 244
- apparent resultant rotation angle (ARRA) 4, 19, 111, 113–14
 - element in ARAD 276
 - listing of ARAD anomalies 280
- Argyre Basin, Mars, terrain magnetic field mapping study 216, 217, 223–8
- Australian Remanent Anomalies Database (ARAD) 272, 273–4
 - anomalies 276
 - ARAD323–ARAD326 – Pilbara 290–3
 - ARAD331 – Brazil 280–1
 - ARAD334 – Matthews Tuya, British Columbia, Canada 283–5
 - ARAD335–ARAD338 – Mexico 289–90
 - ARAD339 – Hennock, Devon, UK 286–7
 - ARAD342 and ARAD343 – Clackamas Mountain, Washington State USA 280–3
 - ARAD346 – Grange Common, County Kildare, Ireland 285–6
 - ARAD347 – Haugsbygd, Norway 288–9
 - ARAD348–ARAD351 – Mexico 289–90
 - ARAD355 and ARAD356 – Kemendok area, NSW 97, 99–105
 - data formats 274
 - database of Australian magnetisations 277–8
 - database elements 275, 276–7
 - database entries beyond Australia 278–88
 - design 275–6
 - EMAG2 database entries (NOAA) 272, 288–93
 - magnetisation estimates 277
 - minimum requirement for an entry 272
 - models 277
 - as a precursor to a global database 272–93
 - surveys 276
- axial magnetic field component, magnetisation direction estimation 155–6
- B_x magnetic field component
 - Martian impact crater 251–2, 254–5
 - Martian terrain model 227
 - Tenterfield survey 221–3
- B_y magnetic field component
 - Martian impact crater 251–2, 254–5
 - Martian terrain model 227
 - Tenterfield survey 221–3
- B_z magnetic field component
 - anomalies 183–4
 - Martian impact crater 251–2, 254–5
 - Martian terrain model 227
 - Tenterfield survey 221–3
- $B_{z,z}$ peak and trough analysis for rapid mapping of magnetisation direction 182–98
 - field test of analysis applied to regional TMI data
 - from White Mountains National Park area, eastern Qld 193–8
 - synthetic test study using ellipsoid sources 186–93
- Betaloo Sub-basin, NT 230, 232
- ‘bicycle-chain’ artefacts 46–9, 51
- Black Hill Norite north-west anomaly, SA
 - ARAD1 278
 - magnetic component symmetry analysis 176–80
 - resolution of complexity of magnetisation 127
 - RTP transform of fields due to remanent magnetisation 117–19
- blocking temperature 3
- Bouguer gravity
 - Gawler Craton, SA 13, 14, 15
 - Waukarlycarly Graben, WA 38–40
- Brazil
 - Mato Grosso region, anomaly ARAD331 280
 - tripole anomaly 263–4
- Brewarrina, NSW, TMI image of overlapping magnetic pipe anomalies 32–5
- Canada, Matthews Tuya, BC, anomaly ARAD334 283–4
- Ceduna, SA, negative magnetisation contrast anomaly over presumed buried granite 230, 236–41
- circular-section pipe models 100, 121, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 288
- Clackamas Mountain, Washington State, USA, anomalies ARAD342 and ARAD343 280–3
- Cobham Lake area, NSW, gradient enhancement to separate fields that can be meaningfully inverted from those that cannot 54–6
- complete survey multi-line inversion 67–8
- Coompana Survey south-west SA
 - resolution of complexity of magnetisation 127–30
 - sensitivity-evaluation case study in recovery of magnetisation direction 124–7

- Coonabarabran Survey, NSW, models to explain magnetisation showing multiple inversions of an anomaly 19–21
- ‘cultural’ anomalies 11
- Curie point 3
- data spacing, influence on magnetic field inversion 36–8
- gravity modelling and inversion 38–41
 - magnetic field modelling and inversion 41–6
- data sufficiency tests of estimated magnetisation direction, Rylstone case study, NSW 151–3
- data visualisation 30–2
- demagnetisation 243, 245
- Martian impact crater 253–5
- depth offset best-fit models 62, 63–4
- depth to the top of magnetisation 58–9
- field study, Sedan, SA 73–83
 - field study, WaukarlyCarly, WA 41–6
 - potential field theory 58–60
 - practical challenges 61–6
 - sweet-spot estimation 58, 60–83
 - synthetic-data study 66–73
- differential global positioning system (DGPS) 23
- digital terrain elevation (DEM), Lost Falls Forest Reserve, Tas. 245, 246–7
- dipole $B_{z,z}$ anomalies 184–6
- dipole magnetisation models 84–5
- complex equidimensional magnetisation 88–90, 96–7
 - departure statistics 86–7
 - dipole-ellipsoid analysis 85, 86–105
 - distribution of magnetism, DE model 87–8
 - performance of the proximal/distal statistics 96–7
 - vertically and horizontally polarised dipole analysis 84, 85–7, 88–105
- dipole-ellipsoid (DE) analysis 85
- complex equidimensional magnetisation 88–90
 - departure statistics 86–7
 - distribution of magnetism 87–8
 - field analysis case study, near Kemendok Park, NSW 97–105
- dipole tensor analysis error 160, 162, 163, 164
- dipoles, and distal and proximal magnetic fields 85
- distal magnetic fields 84, 105
- dipole magnetisation models 85–97
 - and dipoles 85
 - empirical measures 84–105
 - expression of magnetisation in 115–17
 - performance statistics 96–7
 - VHPD and DE analysis case study, Kemendok NSW 97–105
- diurnal variation 9, 12, 23, 30
- dolerites, Tasmania, magnetisation excavation anomalies 243, 244–50
- drilling induced magnetisation 6
- drone survey – Jindabyne, NSW 200–14
- dyke 15, 18, 46–9, 52, 60, 65
- dynamic magnetic fields 12–13
- Earth’s magnetic field 6–8, 29, 273
- Echuca, Vic., TMI image and inversion model of anomalies 30–2, 33
- elevation influence, horizontal centre of magnetisation 164–5
- Elkedra Area, NT, use of vertical gradient enhancements in inversion 50–4
- ellipsoid models 19, 20–2, 135–6, 139, 144–7, 149, 151, 182, 186–93, 208, 263–4
- see also* dipole-ellipsoid (DE) analysis
- elliptic-section pipe models 19, 20–2, 31, 34, 91, 93, 98–100, 102–5, 125–6, 129, 143, 146–51, 153, 208–9, 264, 287
- EMAG2 (NOAA) database entries 272, 288–93
- anomalies over strong magnetisation in north-east Western Australia 290–3
 - North American Map and anomalies over volcanic intrusions in Mexico 289–90
- empirical measures of proximal and distal magnetic fields 84–105
- equidimensional magnetisations
- proximal/distal statistics 96
 - VHPD and DE analysis 88–90
- Ethabuka anomaly, central Qld, magnetisation direction 165–6
- FAIR magnetic field data 274, 278, 293
- ferromagnetic minerals 3–4
- ferromagnetism 2–3
- FFT (fast Fourier transforms)
- grid-based 274
 - phase transforms from TMI grids 155, 156, 173, 176, 177, 182, 183, 216
- field component ratio method, analytical basis 156–8
- Gairdner Dyke Swarm, SA, gridding influence on inversion 46–9, 60
- Gawler Craton, southern, SA 236–7
- Gawler Craton Airborne Survey (GCAS), SA 13, 14, 15, 46
- gradient enhancements
- to separate fields that can be meaningfully inverted from those that cannot 54–6
 - vertical, use in inversion 50–4
- gradient tensor 6, 10
- see also* gravity gradient tensor
- gradient tensor element ratios of a dipole source, magnetisation direction estimation 155–67
- gradients of magnetic fields 6
- Grange Common, County Kildare, Ireland, anomaly ARAD346 285–6
- granite negative magnetisation contrast anomaly, north of Ceduna, SA 230, 236–41

- gravity basin model combined with intra-basement magnetic model 45, 46
- gravity fields, relationship with magnetic fields 13–16
- gravity gradient tensor
equivalence to the magnetic field – magnetisation matrix 169–71
for a point mass 169
- gravity modelling and inversion 38–41
- grid imagery 11–12
- grid-traverse inversions 69–70
Sedan, SA 78–80, 82–3
under-sampled survey 72
- gridding, influence on inversion 46–9
- Haugsbjgd, Norway, anomaly ARAD347 288
- Helbig magnetic moment analysis 109, 155, 168, 169
- hematite 3
- Hennock, Devon, UK, anomaly ARAD339 286–7
- high geomagnetic inclination, TMI anomalies 257–8
- histogram equalisation 30
- horizontal centre of magnetisation 155, 157–65
Ethabuka anomaly, central Qld 165–6
normalised source strength (NSS) 157–66
sources of error in the analysis 158–65
total gradient (TG) 157, 158, 161, 162–6
- horizontally compact, steeply plunging ('pipe') magnetisations, inversion 119–224
- horizontally elongate magnetisations, VHPD and DE models 90–3
- impact magnetisation, Martian impact crater 253, 255–6
- induced magnetisation 4, 5, 6
as component of resultant magnetisation 112–14
- International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) 7, 258–9
contours of intensity/contours of inclination 7, 8
percentage change in intensity 12
- inversion 5, 16–18
depth to magnetisation Sedan, SA 73–83
input data 29
magnetisation direction and location 133–5
magnetisation direction and plunge 163–4
magnetisation direction and shape 139–42
non-uniqueness 58–62, 66–73
parametric 17–18
plunging ('pipe') magnetisation 119–24
sensitivity analysis 124–7
terrain model, case study, Lost Falls Forest, Tasmania 250–6
terrain model, case study, Tenterfield, NSW 217–21
vertical derivative, Cobham Lake, NSW 54–6
voxel 16–17, 20
- inversion styles 16–18
- Ireland, Grange Common, County Kildare, anomaly ARAD346 285–6
- Iron Knob, SA 3
- isothermal remanent magnetisation (IRM) 6
- Jindabyne aeromagnetic anomalies, NSW 205–7
modelling of aeromagnetic data 208–9
UAV survey 210–14
- Kalkarindji basalts, NT, magnetisation destruction anomalies 230, 232–5
- Kemendok Park area, NSW, anomalies ARAD355 and ARAD356, VHPD and DE magnetic field analysis case study 97–105
- Koenigsberger ratio 4, 111, 113–14, 178, 219, 244, 268
- Kuala Pilah, Malaysia 268, 269–70, 271
- Lamington Volcanics, NSW 216, 217–18, 228
- latitude dependence of magnetisation direction 7–8, 183–4
- lightning strike magnetisation 6
- Lost Falls Forest area, Tas. 237–8, 245–9
- low inclination fields
estimation of magnetisation direction from tripole and quadrupole anomalies 257–71
measured Brazilian tripole anomaly 263–4
measured Malaysian tripole anomaly 264–5
TMI anomalies 257–8
- maghemite 4
- Magnetic Anomaly Map of North America (MAMNA) 289, 290
- magnetic component symmetry analysis for magnetisation direction estimation 168–9, 171–6
Black Hill Norite north-west anomaly, SA 176–80
- magnetic depth estimation, 'sweet-spot' method 58–83
- magnetic depth traverse 44
- magnetic domains 3
- magnetic field anomalies 274
due to remanent magnetisation 18–19, 109–12, 114–15
Kemendock, NSW case study 97–105
relationship with models 22
Rylstone, NSW case study 35–7
terrain-delimited magnetisations, northern NSW 216, 217–23
- magnetic field data 8–16
expression of remanent magnetisation: recognition, analysis and inversion 109–31
influence of source location, shape and plunge in recovery of magnetisation direction from 132–53
magnetisation direction from 168–9
sufficiency of sampling the field 8–9
- magnetic field expression of the centres and edges of magnetised bodies 10–11
- magnetic field grids 9
- magnetic field imagery, magnetisation direction recognition in 114–15
- magnetic field inversion 4–5, 16–18, 111, 125, 133
common misconceptions 29

- data focus and separation of fields to be inverted 32–5
- data selection and optimisation 29–56
- data visualisation 30–2
- fundamental insensitivity 61–4
- gradient enhancements to separate fields that can be meaningfully inverted from those that cannot 54–6
- and gravity modelling 38–41
- influence of data spacing 36–46
- influence of gridding on 46–9
- and magnetic field modelling 41–6
- separation of anomalies from a steep regional gradient 35–6
- vertical gradient enhancement use 50–4
- magnetic field mapping, Argyle Basin, Mars 216, 217, 223–8
- magnetic field modelling and inversion 41–6
- magnetic field studies in mineral exploration 22–3
- magnetic field variations 5, 132–3
 - spurious 11–12
- magnetic field(s) 6–7
 - Earth 6–8, 29, 273
 - and gravity gradient tensor equivalence 169–71
 - proximal and distal, empirical measures 84–105
 - relationship with gravity fields 13–16
 - relationship with magnetisation 18–19
 - separation 9–10
 - static and dynamic 12–13
 - under sampling 70–1
- magnetic source depth models 45–6
- magnetic survey data, network adjustment 30
- magnetic susceptibility meter 6
- magnetisation
 - expression in proximal and distal fields 115–17
 - horizontal centre of 155, 157–65
 - relationship with magnetic fields 18–19
 - relationships with their models 18, 19–22
 - resolution of complexity 127–30
- magnetisation deficit anomalies 230–41
 - buried granite north of Ceduna, SA 230, 236–41
 - Kalkarindji basalts, NT 230, 232–5
- magnetisation destruction anomalies, Kalkarindji basalts, NT 230, 232–55
- magnetisation direction 5, 109
 - $B_{z,z}$ peak and trough analysis for rapid mapping of 182–99
 - estimates, ARAD 277
 - estimation by magnetic component symmetry analysis 168–80
 - estimation from axial magnetic field component and gradient tensor element ratios of a dipole source 155–67
 - estimation from magnetic field analysis of compact sources 201–5
 - estimation from magnetic field data 109–11
 - estimation from tripole and quadrupole anomalies in low inclination fields 257–71
- Ethabuka anomaly, central Qld 165–6
- expression for 170
- expression of magnetisation in proximal and distal fields 115–17
- from magnetic field data 168–9
- influence of source location, shape and plunge in recovery from magnetic field data 132–53
- inversion of horizontally compact, steeply plunging ('pipe') magnetisations 119–24
- key points relating to magnetic field data 111–12
- recognition in magnetic field imagery 114–15
- resolution of complexity of magnetisation 127–30
- resultant (remanent and induced) magnetisations 112–14
- RTP transform of fields due to remanent magnetisation 117–19
- Rylstone case study, NSW 142–53
- sensitivity-evaluation case study in recovery of 124–7
- UAV surveys of under-sampled aeromagnetic anomalies 200–14
- magnetisation excavation anomalies 243–4
 - Martian impact crater 243
 - Tasmanian dolerites 243, 244–50
- magnetisation matrix 169–71
 - for a dipole – the 3×3 magnetic field 169–70
 - expression for magnetisation direction 170
 - gravity gradient tensor for a point matrix 169
 - Poisson's relationship between gravity and magnetic fields 170–1
- magnetisation range of tripole and quadrupole anomalies 259–62
- magnetisation studies in mineral exploration 2–6
- magnetised bodies, magnetic field expression of centres and edges 10–11
- magnetite 3
- Malaysia
 - Kuala Pilah quadrupole anomaly 268, 269–70
 - tripole anomaly 264–5
- Martian impact crater, synthetic magnetic modelling 241, 250–3
- Martian terrain model study, synthetic 216, 217, 223–8
- Matthews Tuya, British Columbia, Canada, anomaly ARAD334 283–5
- measurement elevation 66
- Mexico, volcanic intrusions, anomalies over 289–90
- micro-levelling 11, 30, 119
- minimum curvature gridding 50–1
- models
 - dipole magnetisation 84–105
 - gravity modelling and inversion 38–41
 - magnetic field modelling and inversion 41–6
 - parametric inversions *see* parametric inversion models
 - relationship with magnetic field anomalies 22
 - relationship with magnetisations 18, 19–22
 - voxel inversions *see main entry* voxel inversions

- see also* specific types, e.g. ellipsoid models
- multi-line inversions
 - complete survey 67–8
 - single anomaly 68–9
 - under-sampled survey anomaly inversions 71
- multi-scale edge analysis (worms) 11, 13–14
- Naudy depth estimation, field study, Elkedra, Errolola Syncline 50–3
- natural remanent magnetisation (NRM) 3, 6, 182, 268
- negative magnetisation contrast anomaly over
 - presumed buried granite, north of Ceduna, SA 230, 236–41
- network adjustment, magnetic survey data 30, 47, 81
- normalised source strength (NSS) to estimate
 - horizontal centre of magnetisation 155, 157, 160–5
 - Ethabuka anomaly, central Qld 165–6
 - imprecise location of NSS peak 158–60
 - influence of elevation 164–5
 - influence of plunge 163–4
 - influence of shape 160–3
 - NSS defined in terms of its eigenvalues 158
- normalised source strength (NSS) transforms 182, 183, 203
- North American Magnetic Anomaly Map (NAMAM) 289
- Norway, Haugsburg anomaly ARAD347 288
- overlapping anomalies, Brewarrina, NSW 32–5
- palaeomagnetism 1
- parametric inversion analysis of sensitivities between magnetisations and external magnetic fields 132–42
 - combined influence of source position, shape and plunge 141
 - combined influence of source position and shape 139–41
 - influence of source location alone 133–5
 - influence of source plunge alone 136–9
 - influence of source shape alone 135–6
 - Rylstone case study 142–53
 - summary of the sensitivity study 141–2
- parametric inversion models 17–18, 19–22, 63, 83, 110, 169
- Pilbara, West Australia, EMAG2 anomalies over strong magnetisation 290–3
- plunge influence
 - horizontal centre of magnetisation 163–4
 - see also* source plunge
- plunging magnetisation
 - inversion of horizontally compact, steeply plunging ('pipe') 119–24
 - proximal/distal statistics 96–7
 - synthetic-data VHPD and DE analysis 93–5
- Poisson's relationship between gravity and magnetic fields 170–1
- polygonal section pipe models 31, 32, 125, 126, 127
- potential field theory 6, 13, 18, 43
 - depth to the top of magnetisation 58–60
- pre- and post-inversion data misfit values 62–3, 65
- proximal magnetic fields 84, 105
 - dipole magnetisation models 85–97
 - and dipoles 85
 - empirical measures 84–105
 - expression of magnetisation in 115–17
 - performance statistics 96–7
 - VHPD and DE field analysis case study, Kemendok NSW 97–105
- pseudo-single domain (PSD) magnetisation 3
- pyrrhotite 3–4
- quadrupole anomalies
 - analysis test on measured anomalies 268–9
 - estimation of magnetisation direction 265–8
 - Kuala Pilah anomaly, Malaysia 268, 269–70
 - in a low inclination field 257, 265–8
 - magnetisation range 259–62
 - Sydney Rubens coil set anomaly 268, 270
- Q meter 5
- regional-residual separation 33, 35–8
 - Cobham Lake, NSW case study 54–6
 - Rylstone, SA case study 35–8
- remanent magnetisation 4, 5, 6
 - Australian Remanent Anomalies Database 272–5
 - as component of resultant magnetisation 112–14
 - expression in magnetic field data 109–31
 - multi domain (MD) 3
 - RTP transform of fields due to 117–19
 - single domain (SD) 3
 - viscous remanent magnetisation (VRM) 3
- resultant magnetisations 4, 112–14, 130, 155
- reverse magnetisation 98, 127, 231, 245, 257, 258, 260
 - anomalies, tripole analysis 270–1
 - Tenterfield, NSW 216, 218, 219, 220, 222, 223
- rock magnetism 1, 13
- RTP (reduced to pole) transform 7, 10, 11, 15, 41, 42, 133, 183
 - of fields due to remanent magnetisation 117–19
- Rubens coil set, Sydney 118–19, 268, 269, 270, 271
- Rylstone area, NSW, separation of anomalies from a steep regional gradient 35–6, 37
- Rylstone case study, NSW, magnetisation direction estimation 142–53
 - data sufficiency tests 151–3
 - sensitivity tests 149–50
 - source plunge influence 148–9
 - source position influence 146–8
 - source shape influence 143–6
- scanning magnetic microscopy 3
- Sedan, SA, depth to magnetisation field study 73–83
 - Area 1 74–5

- Area 2 single flightline and grid-traverse inversions 78–80
- Area 3 individual grid-traverse and single tie-line segment inversions 82–3
- Area 3 regional and detailed survey TMI anomalies 80–2
- self-demagnetisation 5
- sensitivity-evaluation case study in recovery of magnetisation direction 124–7
- sensitivity tests of estimated magnetisation direction
 - parametric studies 132–42
 - Rylstone case study, NSW 149–50
- separation of anomalies from a steep regional gradient 35–6
- separation of fields to be inverted 32–5
- separation of magnetic fields 9–10
- shape influence
 - horizontal centre of magnetisation 160–3
 - see also* source shape
- single anomaly multi-line inversions 69
- single domain (SD) magnetisation 3
- single profile analysis – data selection 64–6
- single profile inversions 69
 - under-sampled survey 71
- single tie-line segment inversions, Sedan, SA 82–3
- source depth estimation 44–5
- source plunge, influence on magnetisation direction 136–9
 - Rylstone case study, NSW 148–9
- source position, influence on magnetisation direction 133–5
 - Rylstone case study, NSW 146–8
- source position and shape (combined), influence on magnetisation direction 139–41
- source position, shape and plunge (combined), influence on magnetisation direction 141
- source shape, influence on magnetisation direction 135–6
 - Rylstone case study, NSW 143–6
- spurious magnetic field variations 11–12
- spurious magnetisations 5–6
- SQUID detectors 6, 10
- stacked profile 31
- static magnetic fields 12
- steep regional gradient, separation of anomalies from 35–6
- stereographic projections 4
- ‘string-of-pearls’ artefacts 46, 47, 48, 49, 51
- sun shading image enhancement 30
- survey location, ARAD database 276
- survey planning 20, 30, 36
- SWARM (ESA) satellite constellation 7
- sweet-spot estimation of depth to the top of magnetisation 58–83
 - concepts 60
 - data packages 61
 - data selection – single profile analysis 64–6
 - field study, Sedan, SA 73–83
 - forward modelling tests of depth estimates 61
 - fundamental insensitivity of magnetic field inversion 61–4
 - Gairdner Dyke Swarm, SA 46–9, 60
 - practical challenges 61–6
 - synthetic data study 66–73
- sweet-spot magnetic field inversion, source depth estimation 44–5
- Sydney Rubens coil anomaly 268, 270, 271
- synthetic data analysis of a plunging magnetisation 93–5, 96–7
- synthetic data dipole anomaly, VHPD decomposition 86
- synthetic data study of depth to magnetisation estimation 66
 - complete survey multi-line inversion 67–8
 - conclusions 72–3
 - grid-traverse inversions 69–70
 - influence of measurement elevation 66–7
 - multi-line single anomaly inversions 78–9
 - single profile inversions 69
 - under sampling of the magnetic field 70–1
 - under-sampled survey grid-traverse inversions 72
 - under-sampled survey multi-line anomaly inversions 71
 - under-sampled survey single profile inversions 71
- synthetic magnetic modelling of a Martian impact crater 241, 250–3
 - with demagnetisation and impact magnetisation 253–6
- synthetic Martian terrain model study 216, 217, 223–8
- tabular models 135, 136, 144, 145, 146, 147, 149
- Tasmanian dolerites, magnetisation excavation anomalies modelling 243, 244–50
 - applicability to synthetic magnetic modelling of a Martian impact crater 250
- tensor analysis error *see* dipole tensor analysis error
- Tenterfield, NSW, terrain-bound magnetisations 216, 217–21
 - applicability to synthetic Martian terrain model study 223–8
 - multiple component analysis and inversion 221–3
- terrain-bound magnetisations
 - Argyre Basin, Mars 216, 217, 223–8
 - Tenterfield, NSW 216, 217–23
- thermo-remanent magnetisation (TRM) 3, 255
- 3D inversion code of voxel inversion 19, 20
- tie-line levelling 30, 74, 119
- tilt filter 32
- titomagnetite 3–4
- Torrens, SA 15–17
- total gradient (TG) to estimate horizontal centre of magnetisation 157, 158, 161, 162–6
- total gradient (TG) transforms 182, 183, 203, 204, 205
- total magnetic intensity (TMI) anomalies 6, 7, 13
 - Argyle Basin, Mars (synthetic) 224–5

- Black Hill Norite north-west anomaly 117-19, 127, 176-80, 276
- Brazilian tripole anomaly 263-4
- Brewarrina, NSW 32-5
- Ceduna area, SA 236-9
- Clackamas Mountains, Washington State, USA 280, 282
- Cobham Lake area, NSW 54-6
- compact magnetic bodies 183-4, 200-5
- complex equidimensional magnetisation 88-9
- Coompana Survey south-west SA 124-7
- dipole magnetisation 86
- Echuca area, Vic. 30-2, 33
- Elkedra Area, NT 50-1, 52
- Ethabuka anomaly, central Qld 165-6
- expression of magnetisation in proximal and distal fields 115-17
- FFT phase transforms from 155-6, 173, 176-7, 182-3, 216
- Gairdner Dyke Swarm, SA 46-9
- Gawler Craton, SA 13, 14, 15
- Grange Common, County Kildare, Ireland 285-6
- Haugabygd, Norway 288
- high and low geomagnetic inclinations 257-8
- horizontally elongate magnetisation 90-1, 92-3
- inversion of horizontally compact, steeply plunging ('pipe') magnetisations 120-3
- Jindayne aeromagnetic anomalies, NSW 205-9, 212, 213
- Kalkarindjio basalts, NT 233-5
- Kemendol study area, NSW 97, 99-101, 103
- Kuala Pilah, Malaysia 269, 270, 271
- latitudinal variation, south to north profiles (Earth) 8
- Lost Falls Forest area, Tas. 237-8, 245-9
- magnetic component symmetry analysis 171-80
- magnetic dipoles 133-5
- magnetisation deficit anomalies 231-2
- magnetisation direction in magnetic field imagery 114-15
- Malaysian tripole anomaly 264-5
- Martian impact crater 250-1
- Mato Grosso region, Brazil 280
- Mexico 289
- north-east Western Australia 291-2
- NSS contours for dipole magnetisations 158
- plunging magnetisation 94-5
- Rubens coil set, Sydney 269, 270, 271
- Rylstone area, NSW 35-6, 37, 143, 146, 150-1
- Sedan, SA 73-8
- Tellus SW survey, UK 286-7
- Tenterfield, NSW 217-23
- vector nature of TMI data 10
- Waukarlycarly Graben, WA 42-4
- White Mountains National Park area, eastern Qld 193-8
- zero geomagnetic inclination 258
- tripole anomalies
- analysis of a measured Brazilian tripole anomaly 263-4
 - analysis of a measured Malaysian tripole anomaly 264-5
 - analysis of reverse-magnetisation anomalies 270-1
 - estimation of magnetisation direction 262-5
 - in a low-inclination field 257, 262-3
 - magnetisation range 259-62
- UAV surveys of under-sampled aeromagnetic anomalies for improved constraint of magnetisation direction 200
- near Jindabyne, NSW 210-14
- under-sampled survey
- grid-traverse inversions 72
 - multi-line anomaly inversions 71
 - single profile inversions 71
 - under sampling of the magnetic field 70-1
- United Kingdom, Hennock, Devon, anomaly ARAD339 286-7
- United States, Clackamas Mountains, Washington State, anomalies ARAD342 and ARAD343 280-3
- vector nature of TMI data 10
- vertical gradient enhancements, use in inversion 50-4
- vertical gradient of the vertical field component: $B_{z,z}$ 184-6
- field test of analysis applied to regional TMI data from White Mountains National Park area, eastern Qld 193-8
 - synthetic test study using ellipsoid sources 186-93
- vertically and horizontally polarised dipole (VHPD) analysis 85, 86
- complex equidimensional magnetisation 88-90
 - decomposition of a synthetic data dipole anomaly 86
 - departure statistics 86-7
 - field analysis case study, near Kemendok Park, NSW 97-105
 - horizontally elongate magnetisation 90-3
 - synthetic-data analysis of a plunging magnetisation 93-5
- viscous remanent magnetisation (VRM) 3, 6
- voxel inversions 16-17, 19, 20, 22, 110, 112, 127, 133, 142, 153, 169, 231, 273
- Waukarlycarly Graben, WA, linkage between data sampling and inversion 38-46
- White Mountains National Park area, eastern Qld, field test analysis 193-8
- worms (multi-scale edge analysis) 11, 13-14
- zero geomagnetic inclination, TMI anomalies 258